

# Annex 9

## IP3 – Additional Information

**From:** Alan White  
**Sent:** 23 May 2018 18:00  
**To:** Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: New Premises Licence Application for Mad Husky Events Ltd - Trent Park - 4th August 2018

Ellie

As you are aware both myself and Colin Bull, representing CLARA, met with Lizamarie O'Sullivan, representing Mad Husky Events, in order to discuss our original objections to their Licence application for the 51<sup>st</sup> State Music festival scheduled to take place in Trent Park On the 4<sup>th</sup> August 2018.

Although we had a frank discussion with Lizamarie and certain information regarding names of relevant specialist companies that would be used for the event Management and Health and Safety were confirmed, unfortunately we were advised that the overall event management plan and Noise management procedures are still not available. For this reason alone, we are therefore **unable** to withdraw any of the objections detailed within our original submission dated 30<sup>th</sup> April 2018.

In addition, following the meeting it became clear that we needed to add to our objections with the following:

**(a) Original Objection (2) Snakes Lane Failures:**

**We wish to add:**

We have been advised that the overall use of Snakes Lane has now changed leaving the requirement to relocate the Car Park (500 cars) for this event. At the time of our meeting the only alternative being suggested was the use of the Saracens Rugby Football ground adjacent to Chicken Shed in Chase Side Southgate. CLARA objects to this alternative location for the following reasons:

\* For arrival to the event the occupants of the 500 cars would need to walk the full length of the Cockfosters Road passing all of the main shopping area at potentially its busiest time on a Saturday. For egress, those returning to their cars would need to follow the main crowd of music festival goers up to and past Cockfosters Underground station. At the time of egress such was the crowd last year that it was necessary to close the Cockfosters Road and it has already been stated by Council Officers that crowd management was an issue at Cockfosters Station. If we add the occupants of the 500 cars (potentially 2000 people) who used Snakes Lane last year to the numbers already trying to get to Cockfosters Station and then trying to pass them in order to get to the car park, we believe that it an already fragile crowd control area outside the Station would become a high-risk area and a potential danger.

\*As has been demonstrated on previous years that this event has been held, wherever the route for the crowd takes them then their rubbish and noise go with them. To extend the route to the full length of the Cockfosters Road leaves the complete area of the Cockfosters shops and restaurants subject to abuse through uncontrolled waste and noise.

On the grounds of safety, we object to the relocation of the car park to the Saracens Rugby Football ground.

**(b) Original Objection Road Closures and failures for Residents (3)**

**We wish to add:**

We have been advised that the revised road closure plan will be part of the Traffic Management plan which as yet is not available. We are therefore unable to determine whether the revised plan addresses all of the issues raised by both residents and council officers at the event review meeting. We therefore object to this Licence being issued until this major safety related document has both been consulted on and then finalised.

We also object to the proposal to close the Cockfosters Road service road outside Miracles for the complete day of the event in order to use it as event taxi rank. We believe it is grossly unfair to our local restaurants, other traders and residents who would normally use the series of shops and restaurants in this location to close the service road.

**(c) Original Objection (4) Policing:**

Although we have now been given details of certain elements of the policing manpower numbers, the final details including numbers of special constables is not yet available. We therefore wish to retain section 4 of our original objection.

**(d) Original Objections (5) and (6)**

**Both of these objections to remain unchanged from our original submission. At our meeting of the 23<sup>rd</sup> April Lizamarie accepted on behalf of Mad Husky Events that the same 23 Licence conditions issued as part of last year's Licence should be included again as part of this year's Licence should it be awarded. Please see our original objection (6) for details.**

**(e) We wish to add:**

**Noise Management Procedure**

We have been advised that the noise management procedure is not yet available for this event and therefore we are unable to determine whether or not it is suitable. Our original submission stated the following:

**Noise Management Plan:** *The noise management plan was not updated with the details given orally at the licensing hearing on the 3<sup>rd</sup> July either by the required date of 21<sup>st</sup> July or the date of the first event and therefore the organisers did not meet this Licence condition. We advised council officers who accepted that the document had not been updated and therefore had to confirm alternative arrangements. This is the second year that this event organiser has failed to meet the requirement of having a fully documented Noise Management Plan. In addition, at the event review meeting held with council officers on 21<sup>st</sup> August 2017 we asked for data of the sound monitoring results. We were promised a copy but have yet to receive them.*

So, this is now the third year where we have been promised a fully documented noise Management procedure and still we have yet to see it in its completed form. It is obvious from last years' experience that making it a condition of the Licence is not effective as updating it to the required standard by a certain date was simply ignored. Interestingly, other event organisers who also have events taking place in Trent Park do not consider it a problem for their noise management plan to include the following details:

- \* Monitoring position for residential area both outside and inside the park
- \* Monitoring frequency of at least every 30 minutes
- \* Details of the acceptable levels of noise at residential areas in dBs and procedure for taking action if needed
- \* Data recording
- \* Complaints procedure and response time

We would ask that a condition of the Licence, if granted, is that a full and effective Noise Management procedure including all of the above detail is provided before the Licence can be fully operated.

**(f) We wish to add:**  
**Egress plan for Cockfosters Station:**

At a recent Stakeholders meeting, the representative for TFL confirmed that at last year's music festival event there was a considerable problem with Crowd control at both Cockfosters and Oakwood station. He also advised us that two of the BTP staff were injured as a result. Other event organisers have therefore formed an agreement with TFL for their events that also take place within Trent park and have documented their agreement with TFL as part of their event management. Bearing in mind this event is the largest that takes place within Trent Park CLARA believe that the same documented procedure should be an absolute requirement as a Licence condition for this event. Without it we believe that it would be unsafe for the event to go ahead.

**(g) We wish to add:**  
**Bill and Fly poster advertising:**

At the Stakeholders meeting held on the 9<sup>th</sup> May CLARA requested information regarding Mad Husky Events policy on Bill and Fly Poster

advertising. We were advised by Lizamarie that she would investigate the matter and report back to us. Unfortunately, at our meeting of the 23<sup>rd</sup> May no further information was available. We were advised that if Bill Posters were used on private land they were a legal advert. We provided a number of examples of Bill Posters that had been seen mounted on public land and fly overs around the M25. (Photographs will be submitted as part of our evidence) . CLARA believe that it is unacceptable for illegal advertising of a Licenced event to take place or be acceptable and would therefore ask that a condition of this Licence should be that illegal advertising of the event should cease and all existing bill posters removed.

In addition to the above and our original objections CLARA will be sending by separate emails photographs of examples of the illegal advertising and of the damage to the Showground mainly taken 3 weeks after the event taking place.

Yours faithfully

Alan White (CLARA)

---

**From:** Alan White

**Sent:** 23 May 2018 18:48

**To:** Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>

**Subject:** New Premises Licence Application for Mad Husky Events Ltd Trent Park  
4th August 2018

Ellie

Please find attached photographs for our submission relating to illegal advertising of the event.

Regards

Alan White (CLARA)







---

**From:** Alan White

**Sent:** 23 May 2018 18:59

**To:** Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>

**Subject:** New Premises Licence Application for Mad Husky Events Ltd Trent Park  
4th August 2018

Ellie

Photographs of the Showground approximately 3 weeks after last year's event

Regards

Alan White (CLARA)





---

**From:** Alan White

**Sent:** 23 May 2018 20:40

**To:** Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>

**Subject:** New Premises Licence Application for Mad Husky Events Ltd Trent Park  
4th August 2018

Ellie

More pictures of the showground taken approximately 3 weeks after last years Mad Husky event

Regards

Alan White (CLARA)





---

**From:** Alan White  
**Sent:** 23 May 2018 20:42  
**To:** Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** New Premises Licence Application for Mad Husky Events Ltd Trent Park  
4th August 2018

Ellie

Attached are photographs demonstrating the damage caused to the showground after last year's event

Regards

Alan White (CLARA)



